UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,153	06/16/2005	Nobuaki Tanaka	38204	1383
52054 PEARNE & GO	7590 04/14/200 DRDON LLP	EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9T	-	DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA		
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND,	ОН 44114-3108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patdocket@pearne.com dchervenak@pearne.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/534,153	TANAKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PHYLESHA L. DABNEY	2614		

	PHYLESHA L. DABNEY	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tending amount of the corresponding amount of the correct and the corre	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Displaceure Statement(s). 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	- 10/30/00) Fapel NO(S)		
//Curtis Kuntz// Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

With respect to the Specification objection, the admendment to the specification has been entered.

With respect to the objection to claim 12, the admendment to claim 12 to changed the status identifier has been entered.

With respect to claim 1, the Applicant argues that Steward does not teach conductor (shield can, 621) exposed from the bottom facing or side facing of the housing. The Examiner disagrees. Contrary to the Applicant's statements that the conductor is mounted in the casing, Steward does not specify that the conductor is mounted in the case, but he does clearly show in several instances (figs. 1-8, specifically 8) the intention is to have the conductor exponsed/viewable at least at the side face. Therefore, the Examiner contends that Steward reference satisfies the claimed subject matter.

With respect to claims 6 and 9, the Applicant argues that Sadler does not teach a conductor part provided between a rear face of the casing and the circuit board. The Examiner disagrees. Since a casing comprises a front cover having a front and rear face, and a rear cover having a front and rear face, the Sadler reference teaches the conductor part (260, 360, 460, 560; protrusion as related to fig. 13, col. 7 lines 63-67 wherein it states that the 1062 can be bridged by conductors) is provided between the rear face of the casing (1010) and the circuit board (1030) as shown in fig. 13. Therefore, the Examiner contends that Sadler reference satisfies the claimed subject matter.

With respect to claims 7-8, the Applicant argues that Sadler does not teach the conductive board exposed from the rear face of the casing. The Examiner disagrees. Since a casing comprises a front cover having a front and rear face, and a rear cover having a front and rear face, the Sadler reference teaches the conductive board (1062) exposed/viewable from the rear face and front face of the front cover (153; col. 4 lines 10-14, the brand which is etched on the conductive board is visible, thus exposed from the rear face of the casing. Therefore, the Examiner contends that Sadler reference satisfies the claimed subject matter.